UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/576,220	04/14/2006	Eng Sia Lee	121812.00014	6995	
Michael J McG	7590 03/10/200 overn	EXAMINER			
Quarles & Brady			BATISTA, MARCOS		
411 E Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				4134	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/576,220	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MARCOS BATISTA	4134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailing to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ap	oril 2006					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 April 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
A						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 08/31/2006. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/31/2006</u> . 6)						

Art Unit: 4134

DETAILED ACTION

Specification Objections

1. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-17, 19, 20, 22-25, 27-35, 37 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dowden et al. (GB2342536A), hereafter "Dowden," in view of Chow et al. (US 20030185203 A1), hereafter "Chow."

Consider claim 1, Dowden discloses a messaging-enabled communications device configured for a roaming-free connection to a mobile telephone services provider (see abstract, Fig 6, col. 1 lines 3-14, col. 15 lines 3-11). Dowden also teaches a gateway being for placing a telephone call to a destination telephone having a telephone number indicated by a message received from the mobile communications device and also for placing a telephone call to the user though the service provider to patch the user to the destination telephone without incurring roaming charges (see Fig 2, Fig 3, col. 8 lines 7-21, col. 9 lines 1-12, col. 13 lines 10-13).

Application/Control Number: 10/576,220

Art Unit: 4134

Dowden discloses an SMS callback system where a message is sent to an SMS server, which then relates that information to a gateway. The gateway that connects the called and the calling parties, regardless of their respective locations, as instructed by the SMS, thus eliminating the roaming charges. Dowden, however does not particular refer to a distributor, the distributor providing the communications device to a user or a communication device is registered with the gateway before it is provided to the user. Chow teaches a distributor, the distributor providing the communications device to a user (see [0180] – where an electronics store provides a subscriber with a new phone). Chow also teaches a communication device is registered with the gateway before it is provided to the user (see [0180] – where a phone is registered by a salesperson upon acquiring it).

Page 3

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Dowden and have it include distributor, the distributor providing the communications device to a user and a communication device is registered with the gateway before it is provided to the user, as taught by Chow. The motivation would have been in order to give the user the ability to dynamically create, modify or remove services instantaneously upon acquiring the phone (see [0180], [0182]).

Consider claim 2, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claim 1 above. Dowden also teaches wherein the message is an SMS (see col. 14 lines 20-21).

Consider claim 4, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claim 1 above. Dowden also teaches wherein the mobile communications device is a mobile telephone (see fig. 6, #8a, col. 15 lines 3-6).

Consider claim 5, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claim 1 above.

Dowden also teaches wherein mobile communications device is a SIM card (see col. 6 lines 16-18, col. 12 lines 4-6).

Consider claim 6, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claims 1 above. Dowden also teaches wherein the user receives the telephone call at the mobile communications device (see fig 5, col. 14 lines 4-5).

Consider claim 7, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claims 1 above.

Dowden also teaches wherein the user receives the telephone call at a telephone having a telephone number indicated by the message (see fig 6, col.16 lines 11-23).

Consider claim 9, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claim 1above. Chow also teaches wherein the mobile communications device is provided to the user by selling it to the user (see [0180]). The motivation would have been in order to give the user the ability to dynamically create, modify or remove services instantaneously upon acquiring the phone (see [0180], [0182]).

Consider claim 10, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claim 1 above. Chow also teaches wherein the distributor is a retail outlet (see [0180]). The motivation would have been in order to give the user the ability to dynamically create, modify or remove services instantaneously upon acquiring the phone (see [0180], [0182]).

Consider claim 11, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claim 1 above.

Dowden also teaches wherein the distributor provides a selection of mobile communications devices configured for roaming free connections to different mobile telephone services providers (see Fig 2, Fig 3, col. 8 lines 7-21, col. 9 lines 1-12, col. 13 lines 10-13 —where Dowden teaches a gateway that connects the called and the calling parties, regardless of their respective locations, as instructed by the SMS, thus eliminating the roaming charges).

Consider claim 12, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claim 1 above.

Dowden also teaches a database containing user identification information and billing information of the user (see fig 2 #77, col. 8 lines 3-18). Dowden also teaches an identification system for obtaining the user identification information from the message (see fig 3, col. 8 lines 16-17). Dowden further teaches a data processing system for matching the user identification information obtained from the message with the user identification information in the database to identify the user (see fig 2, fig 3, col. 8 lines 3-18).

Consider claim 13, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claim 1 above. Chow also teaches wherein the user identification information and billing information of the user is entered into the database based on information obtained upon the user registering with the distributor (see [0180] – where Chow teaches registering a phone with a server provider. The registration of a phone is known in the art to include the billing information of the customer). The motivation would have been in order to give the

Art Unit: 4134

user the ability to dynamically create, modify or remove services instantaneously upon acquiring the phone (see [0180], [0182]).

Consider claim 14, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claim 1 above.

Dowden also teaches wherein the user may enable a diversion of calls from another communication device to the gateway, whereby the calls may be connected to the messaging-enabled communications device (see col. 9 lines 3-9 – where Dowden teaches that the SNCP receives various service requests from the user).

Consider claim 15, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claim 1 above.

Dowden also teaches wherein the distributor is located outside the service area of the mobile telephone services provider (see fig 5, col. 6 lines 7-18).

Consider claim 16, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claims 1 and 19 above. Dowden also teaches wherein the gateway is located outside the service area of the mobile telephone services provider (see fig 5, col. 6 lines 7-18).

Consider claim 17, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claims 1 and 19 above. Dowden also teaches wherein the gateway is arranged, upon receiving a first phone call from the communication device, to place a second phone call to the device and thereby initiate the sending of the message as part of the second phone call (see Fig 2, Fig 3, col. 8 lines 7-21, col. 9 lines 1-12, col. 13 lines 10-13).

Application/Control Number: 10/576,220

Art Unit: 4134

Consider claims 19, 20, 22-25, 27-35, these are method claims corresponding to system claims 1, 2, 4-7 and 9-17. Therefore, they have been analyzed and rejected based upon the system claims 1, 2, 4-7 and 9-17 respectively.

Page 7

Consider claim 37, Dowden discloses messaging-enabled enabled phone arranged to generate a menu of options, the menu comprising destination telephone numbers (see col. 3 lines 21-22, col. 4 lines 4-5, col. 12 lines 1-11). Dowden also teaches wherein selection of one or more of the destination numbers causing the phone to generate a message to a service provider of SMS Callback (see col. 12 lines 1-18). Dowden also teaches the message containing indications of the at least one of the destination numbers (see col. 12 lines 1-18, col. 13 lines 10-19). Dowden further teaches the message causing the service provider to place call(s) to the at least one destination number and also to place a call to the sender of the message, and patching the calls when they are connected (see Fig 2, Fig 3, col. 8 lines 7-21, col. 9 lines 1-12, col. 13 lines 10-13). Chow also teaches a communication device is registered with the gateway before it is provided to the user (see [0180] – where a phone is registered by a salesperson upon acquiring it). The motivation would have been in order to give the user the ability to dynamically create, modify or remove services instantaneously upon acquiring the phone (see [0180], [0182]).

Consider claim 39, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claim 37 above. Dowden also teaches wherein the message is an SMS (see col. 14 lines 20-21).

4. Claims 3, 21, 38 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Dowden et al. (GB2342536A), hereafter "Dowden," in view of Chow et al. (US 20030185203 A1), hereafter "Chow," further in view of Valloppillil et al. (US 20040137921 A1), hereafter "Valloppillil."

Consider claim 3, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claims 1 and 19 above. But Dowden does not particular refer to the message is an MMS. Valloppillil teaches wherein the message is an MMS (see fig 2A, [0040]).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Dowden and have it include the message is an MMS, as taught by Valloppillil. The motivation would have been in order to provide a message-enabled communication method between a mobile device and an SMS server (see fig 2A, [0040]).

Consider claim 21, this is a method claim corresponding to system claim 3.

Therefore, it has been analyzed and rejected based upon the system claim 3 above.

Consider claim 38, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claim 37 above. But Dowden does not particular refer to message which is called up by a button in the

Art Unit: 4134

messaging-enabled phone. Valloppillil teaches message which is called up by a button in the messaging-enabled phone (see fig 3, [0113]).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Dowden and have it include message which is called up by a button in the messaging-enabled phone, as taught by Valloppillil. The motivation would have been in order to aid the user in composing messages (see fig 3, [0113]).

Consider claim 40, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claim 37 above. But Dowden does not particular refer to wherein the message is an MMS. Valloppillil teaches wherein the message is an MMS (see fig 2A, [0040]). The motivation would have been in order to provide a message-enabled communication method between a mobile device and an SMS server (see fig 2A, [0040]).

5. Claims 8 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Dowden et al. (GB2342536A), hereafter "Dowden," in view of Chow et al. (US 20030185203 A1), hereafter "Chow," further in view of Wittsteinet al. (US 5631947 A), hereafter "Wittstein."

Consider claim 8, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claims 1 and 19 above. But Dowden does not particular refer to the mobile communications device is provided to the user by renting it to the user. Wittsteinet teaches the mobile communications device is provided to the user by renting it to the user (see abstract, col. 12 lines 20-28).

Art Unit: 4134

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Dowden and have it include the mobile communications device is provided to the user by renting it to the user, as taught by Wittsteinet. The motivation would have been in order to provide a user with a method for acquiring a communication device (see abstract, col. 12 lines 20-28).

Consider claim 26, this is a method claim corresponding to system claim 8.

Therefore, it has been analyzed and rejected based upon the system claim 8 above.

6. Claims 18, 36 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Dowden et al. (GB2342536A), hereafter "Dowden," in view of Chow et al. (US 20030185203 A1), hereafter "Chow," further in view of Deeds (US 20040203610 A1), hereafter "Deeds."

Consider claim 18, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claims 1 and 19 above. But Dowden does not particular refer to the communication device activates a ring-tone when the message is being received by the gateway. Deeds teaches the communication device activates a ring-tone when the message is being received by the gateway (see [0035], [0037]).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Dowden and have it include the communication device activates a ring-tone when the message is being received by the

Art Unit: 4134

gateway, as taught by Deeds. The motivation would have been in order to notify the user of a particular event (see [0035], [0037]).

Consider claim 36, this is a method claim corresponding to system claim 18.

Therefore, it has been analyzed and rejected based upon the system claim 36 above.

Consider claim 41, Dowden as modified by Chow teaches claim 37 above. But Dowden does not particular refer to wherein the message masks the gateway of the service provider. Deeds teaches wherein the message masks the gateway of the service provider (see [0058]).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Dowden and have it include wherein the message masks the gateway of the service provider, as taught by Deeds. The motivation would have been in order to prevent other users from identifying the communication device (see [0058]).

7. Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Chow et al. (US 20030185203 A1), hereafter "Chow," in view of Valloppillil et al. (US 20040137921 A1), hereafter "Valloppillil."

Consider claim 42, Chow teaches the messaging-enabled enabled phone is registered with a gateway before it is provided to the user (see [0180] – where a phone is registered by a salesperson upon acquiring it).

Art Unit: 4134

Chow teaches claim 42 above, but does not particular refer to a button wherein a message is sent to a service provider providing SMS Callback when pressed. Valloppillil teaches message which is called up by a button in the messaging-enabled phone (see fig 3, [0113]).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Chow and have it include message which is called up by a button in the messaging-enabled phone, as taught by Valloppillil. The motivation would have been in order to aid the user in composing and sending the messages to the SMS server (see fig 3, [0113]).

Art Unit: 4134

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Marcos Batista, whose telephone number is (571) 270-

5209. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00am to

5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, -Yi Lao can be reached at (571) 272-7671. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone

number is (571) 272-2600.

Marcos Batista

/M. B./

02/19/2008

/LUN-YI LAO/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4134